## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

RHONDA WILLIAMS,

Case No. 3:12-cv-400

Plaintiff,

Judge Thomas M. Rose

-V-

**USABLE LIFE** 

Defendant.

ENTRY AND ORDER OVERRULING WILLIAMS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS (Doc. #31) WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RENEWAL AFTER APPEALS PROCESS IS COMPLETE

Now before the Court is a Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs brought by Plaintiff Rhonda Williams ("Williams"). (Doc. #31.) Therein, Williams seeks the attorneys' fees and costs associated with her action for benefits associated with an ERISA plan. Defendant USAble Life ("USAble") has responded to Williams' Motion and Williams has replied. Therefore, Williams' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs is ripe for decision.

This Court granted Williams' Motion for Judgment On the Administrative Record and remanded the matter to USAble for payment of "own occupation" long-term disability benefits to Williams. (Doc. #29.) On November 26, 2013, USAble appealed this decision to the Sixth Circuit (doc. #32, Sixth Circuit case no. 13-4414) and the appeal has not yet been decided.

Williams now argues that she is entitled to attorneys' fees for "her clear and unambiguous victory" in this case, and that she is entitled to the payment of prejudgment interest. USAble responds that the Court should stay its fee decision until after the Sixth Circuit concludes its review, that Williams is not entitled to attorneys' fees and that the Court does not

Case: 3:12-cv-00400-TMR Doc #: 35 Filed: 12/26/13 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 1277

have jurisdiction to determine Williams' request for prejudgment interest.

Williams may be entitled to some attorneys' fees and costs or no attorneys' fees and costs

following the appeals process. Therefore, since the appeals process is not yet complete, a

decision on this matter now would be premature.

Further, this Court fails to see how it has lost jurisdiction to determine what, if any,

prejudgment interest may be due. However, like the attorneys' fees and costs, a decision on this

issue is premature pending completion of the appeals process.

Therefore, Williams Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and request for prejudgment

interest (doc. #31) is overruled now without prejudice to renewal following completion of the

appeals process.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio this Twenty-Third Day of December, 2013

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

-2-